

# Delta-Mendota Canal Recirculation Feasibility Study

## Stakeholder Meeting Summary

November 17, 2006, 10 a.m. – 12 p.m.

Modesto Centre Plaza, Modesto, CA

### ***Meeting Group Purpose***

- Update and engage stakeholders to begin dialog regarding the feasibility study
- Receive stakeholder comments on alternative development
- Inform stakeholders of future technical team workshops
- Follow up to March workshop

### ***Background and Prior Studies***

Sammie Cervantes and Maury Kruth provided information regarding stakeholder involvement and the Feasibility Study background and process.

### ***Discussion***

*Comment:* Regarding the slide indicating “surplus” water was used in the 2004 pilot test. The speaker suggested that it was not “surplus water” per se, but rather surplus pumping capacity.

*Response:* Reclamation will review the study report and the terms applied to the water and power that were utilized.

*Question:* Will a 401 Certification [for a COE 404 permit] be needed? Will there be a need for a Section 10 permit?

*Response:* No conclusion has been reached, the Feasibility Study is designed to make those determinations.

### ***Initial Alternatives Information Report***

Steve Ottemoeller described what will be included in the IAIR and initiated discussions regarding project objectives, alternatives development, and issues/concerns.

### ***Discussion - Refinement of Project Objectives and Alternatives Development***

- Need objectives to meet Congressional and regulatory agency standards
- Include Reclamation’s obligations (to protect environment, watersheds, water users) as an objective. Describe how recirculation can meet those obligations and standards.
  - South Delta Water Quality at Vernalis
  - Interplay with other agencies (depth and water quality)
  - Use evolving standards
  - Reduce the use of New Melones Reservoir water to meet objectives
- Impacts on water contractors
  - Alternative ways of circulation focus on articulating ranges of impact.
  - Consider the best way of creating suggested alternatives in relation to objectives.
  - Avoid impacts to water contractors in the Delta
  - Look at a “no water supply impact” alternative

# Delta-Mendota Canal Recirculation Feasibility Study

- Include “minimize impacts” as a concept since it may not be possible to avoid all water supply impacts while achieving other objectives related New Melones water supplies
- Consider an alternative to optimize interior South Delta water levels
- Concern about Westside salinity and fisheries issues
- What is the impact on fish? Identify if recirculation can apply biologically. What restrictions exist at any given time during a year?
- Flow study periods to meet water quality standards all year long, not just 31 days
  - Need to look at Spring flows (February-June)
  - Look at flows outside of August
- New Melones Alternatives
  - Eliminate or reduce New Melones February-June release of water
  - Are reduced alternatives from New Melones possible?

*Question:* With regard to baseline (Common Assumptions), how will the restoration effort for the San Joaquin River be taken into account?

*Response:* How San Joaquin restoration is going to occur is unknown. The study team will use whatever information is available as the study proceeds.

*Question:* What is the role of the DMC/CA Intertie as part of recirculation?

*Response:* Recirculation is independent from the Intertie, but the Intertie may be included as a “without project” element; the Intertie is currently undergoing environmental review.

*Question:* What are the assumptions about tributaries and flows? Is the 31-day VAMP pulse flow cycle meeting the objective using circulation?

*Response:* Clarification needed, whether circulation can accomplish tributary reservoir release reductions or flows is unknown. The study will consider various alternatives of releases vs. recirculation pumping.

*Question:* Need “fatal flaw” analysis in the study. Where does it occur in the process? Status quo is unacceptable for fish.

*Response:* The types of analysis Reclamation could consider doing to identify potential “fatal flaws” need to be identified.

- One of the initial tasks of the PFR is a fisheries technical memo that will look at what are the impacts on the fisheries in the San Joaquin River.
- The findings from this analysis may eliminate some but not all recirculation alternatives.

*Question:* Is all recirculation water flowing to the Delta? What is the source of San Joaquin water?

*Response:* Recirculation water would be released to the San Joaquin River and then move downstream to the Delta.

# Delta-Mendota Canal Recirculation Feasibility Study

## ***Water Supply Issues & Concerns***

### **General Comments**

What is the EWA (Environmental Water Account)?

*Response:* The EWA is a mechanism for resource agencies to take actions in the Delta to protect fishery resources without impacting water supplies to export contractors.

### ***Water Quality***

- Consider *improvements* associated with recirculation. Refer to “impact” as singular, using either plus or minus,
- Need clarity on meaning of objectives.
  - Does the problem exceed some standard or threshold?
  - Need more defined measurable units
- What are the downstream impacts on Vernalis?
  - Dissolved oxygen TMDL
  - Impacts go beyond upstream of Vernalis

## ***Fishery Issues and Concerns***

- Define cold water tributaries
- What are the effects of increased exports?
- Is water truly being exported when it is actually recycling through the system?

### ***General Concerns/Comments***

- Consider greenhouse gas emissions and climate change
- Check SWRCB website for additional information
- Engage fish agencies in the study

## ***Next Meeting – Alternatives Workshop***

Tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, December 12 at 9:30 a.m. to 12 noon in Modesto.

## ***Participants – 27***